1 HANSON BRIDGETT LLP KURT A. FRANKLIN, SBN 172715 kfranklin@hansonbridgett.com
SAMANTHA WOLFF, SBN 240280
swolff@hansonbridgett.com
JENNIFER ANIKO FOLDVARY, SBN 292216
ifoldvary@hansonbridgett.com
425 Market Street, 26th Floor
San Francisco, California 94105
Telephone: (415) 777-3200
Facsimile: (415) 541-9366 HANSON BRIDGETT LLP TYSON M. SHOWER, SBN 190375
tshower@hansonbridgett.com
LANDON D. BAILEY, SBN 240236
lbailey@hansonbridgett.com
500 Capitol Mall, Suite 1500
Sacramento, California 95814
Telephone: (916) 442-3333
Facsimile: (916) 442-2348 12 OTTEN LAW, PC VICTOR OTTEN, SBN 165800 13 vic@ottenlawpc.com KAVITA TEKCHANDANI, SBN 234873 kavita@ottenlawpc.com
3620 Pacific Coast Highway, #100
Torrance, California 90505
Telephone: (310) 378-8533
Facsimile: (310) 347-4225 17 Attorneys for Plaintiffs
CORY SPENCER, DIANA MILENA
18 REED, and COASTAL PROTECTION
RANGERS, INC. 19 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 20 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, WESTERN DIVISION 21 22 CASE NO. 2:16-cv-02129-SJO (RAOx) 23 CORY SPENCER, an individual; DIANA MILENA REED, an **DECLARATION OF MICHAEL** 24 individual; and COASTAL SISSON IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR CLASS PROTECTION RANGERS, INC., a 25 CERTIFICATION California non-profit public benefit 26 corporation, Judge: Hon. S. James Otero Date: February 21, 2017 Time: 10:00 a.m. 27 Plaintiffs, Crtrm.:10C 28

12838827.5

2:16-cv-02129-SJO (RAOx)

DECLARATION OF MICHAEL SISSON IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR CLASS CERTIFICATION

10 ||

1-10,

11

12

٧.

LUNADA BAY BOYS; THE INDIVIDUAL MEMBERS OF THE LUNADA BAY BOYS, including but 5 | not limited to SANG LEE, BRANT BLAKEMAN, ALAN JOHNSTON AKA JALIAN JOHNSTON, MICHAEL RAE PAPAYANS, ANGELO FERRARA, FRANK FERRARA, CHARLIE FERRARA, and N. F.; CITY OF PALOS VERDES ESTATES; CHIEF OF POLICE JEFF KEPLEY, in his

Defendants.

representative capacity; and DOES

13 14

15

I, MICHAEL SISSON, declare as follows:

24

25

26

27

28

Since 1955, I have resided the South Bay area in Southern California. I have lived in various places during that time, including Hermosa Beach, Torrance, and Redondo Beach, all in California. I currently live in Redondo Beach, California. I am licensed to practice law in the State of California and in the United States District Court for the Districts of Central and Southern California. My practice areas include civil litigation, civil rights, and personal injury. My office address is 3655 Torrance Blvd, 3rd Floor, Torrance, California 90503. I have personal knowledge of the matters stated in this declaration and, if called as a witness, could and would testify competently as to its contents.

I attended Bishop Montgomery High School in Torrance, California. I received my undergraduate degree from the University of California, Los Angeles (UCLA). I attended law school at the University of 2:16-cv-02129-SJO (RAOx)

12838827.5

DECLARATION OF MICHAEL SISSON IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR CLASS CERTIFICATION

West Los Angeles.

2

6

10

11

13

14

17

19

23

24

25

26

27

- When I'm not working, my family and I enjoy exploring the beach, coastal areas, and other ocean-oriented destinations. I like going to these beach locations because I like being physically active and I love spending time outdoors. Though I do not surf regularly anymore, I visit the beach weekly and I am very active in the water.
- I have been aware of the localism at Lunada Bay for decades. There is a group of local surfers from Palos Verdes Estates, nicknamed the "Bay Boys," who harass, threaten, and inflict violence on non-resident beachgoers. In the surfing community, the Bay Boys are well known.
- 5. I grew up surfing the Los Angeles area beaches but I never tried to surf at Lunada Bay. I avoided Lunada Bay because it was well understood in the surfing community that the localism was extreme there, and that it was a dangerous place where I could be attacked for being a nonlocal. In fact, when I was 14 years old, a friend of mine was beaten up by 16 | the Bay Boys.
 - As an adult, I encountered the Lunada Bay localism problems in 6. my professional capacity as an attorney. First, in the early 1990s, one of my clients, Geoff Hagins, was attacked by the Bay Boys when he tried to surf Lunada Bay. A news crew filmed the incident. Mr. Hagins and four teenage boys with him, one of whom was his nephew, were pushed, shoved, and threatened for attempting to surf at Lunada Bay. On July 20, 1995, I brought suit on behalf of Mr. Hagins and the others seeking a gang injunction against the Bay Boys, and sued the City of Palos Verdes Estates for civil rights violations, in the Los Angeles Superior Court, Case No. BC131830. Attached hereto as Exhibit "1" is a true and correct copy of the face sheet of said lawsuit. This matter settled with both the individual Bay Boys and the City, and with the City making a public proclamation that localism would not 2:16-cv-02129-SJO (RAOx)

- Then, in the early 2000s, my client, Tim Banas went to surf at Indicator, a beach located in Palos Verdes Estates north of Lunada Bay. He was a local painter and brought his 16-year-old son with him. Mr. Banas was attacked by several of the locals and thrown off of the stone patio the locals had built on the shoreline. When Mr. Banas hit the rocks, he injured his knee, requiring surgery to repair it. On or about February 25, 2002, on behalf of Mr. Banas, I sued Palos Verdes Estates and five local surfers seeking to enjoin these individuals from engaging in gang-like activity and from surfing Palos Verdes Estates surf breaks, including Haggerty's, the Cove, Indicator and Lunada Bay, in the Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. BC268737. A true and correct copy of said lawsuit is attached hereto as Exhibit "3". Like the lawsuit involving Mr. Hagins, this matter settled.
- 8. As a plaintiff's lawyer, I have observed several different people 17 serve as Police Chief in the City of Palos Verdes Estates. In my role as a lawyer, I have had personal communications with several of them. They all essentially say the same thing: that they do not tolerate the Bay Boys' behavior and all claim to have the background and experience to remedy the Bay Boys harassment problem. However, their actions are different and it is my experience that there is never any follow-through by Palos Verdes Estates police to resolve this issue. In fact, I am aware that the Palos Verdes Estates' Police have a history of blaming the victim – this happened to more than one of my clients. Ultimately, it has been my experience that for decades the Palos Verdes Estates Police have a history of complicity in illegal exclusion of outsiders at Lunada bay and other Palos Verdes Estates' beaches.

10

11

13

15

16

20

21

23

- 9. Lunada Bay is one of the most beautiful surf spots in Southern California. And for Los Angeles County, the Palos Verdes Estates shoreline with rock reef formations, coves, bays, and bluffs that rise from the ocean seem quite rare. I have explored these public bluffs, shoreline, tide pools and water. I would like to spend more time at Lunada Bay and share experiences there with my family and friends, but I fear physical and verbal attack by the Bay Boys. These attacks are designed to intimidate me and others who don't live in Palos Verdes Estates.
- their attitudes toward non-resident beachgoers change and non-residents feel comfortable enough visiting the area. I want the City of Palos Verdes Estates to return this beach to the public by enforcing its ordinances and providing signage that clearly designates Lunada Bay as a public beach. And as I become older and less ambulatory, I hope at least a few Palos Verdes Estates beaches will be accessible to persons with disabilities. And importantly, I want the Palos Verdes Estates police to be available to help anyone who is unlawfully excluded at Lunada Bay and to take harassment complaints seriously by thoroughly investigating complaints and holding wrongdoers accountable.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed in <u>Reclundo Beach</u>, California on December <u>31</u>, 2016.

MICHAEL SISSON

28

12838827.5

EXHIBIT 1

appointed by the above-entitled court, and now is, guardian ad litem

of plaintiff, John G. Hagins, Jr., a minor.

27

EXHIBIT 2

Day Boy Days Surfers \$15,000

by Daniel Blackburn

A \$15,000 cash settlement will be paid to five surfers by one of the so-called Lunada Bay Boys in what may prove to be the conclusion of an enduring surfing territory war on the Palos Verdes Penin-

Geoff Hagins of Torrance also won a permanent restraining order preventing alleged Bay Boy Peter McCullom from annoying, harassing or intimidating others trying to surf at the beach.

Hagins said after the settlement compromise that McCollum "was the only one who owned up to what he had done."
Other surfers had been accused of par-

ticipating in the harassment, but the settlement specified only McCullom.

Out-of-area surfers long have maintained that they are regularly harassed by local surfers when they try to recreate at Laduna Bay. Incidents of fights and slashed automobile tires have been re-

ported.

As part of last week's settlement agreement, city officials of Palos Verdes Estates agreed to issue a public statement

"denouncing localism" at all city beaches.

The settlement calls for the city council to review the statement, though Palos Verdes Estates Deputy City Clerk Robin Ford said Tuesday that she is "not aware" of a city council agenda item relating to the settlement. The next PVE city council

meeting is Dec. 10.

Hagins and his four fellow surfers originally sued the city for \$7 million, claiming police were negligent in enforcement of sanctions against the Bay Boys even after numerous complaints.

Palos Verdes Estates city officials were not commenting on the settlement this

Wednesday adamently denying laxity in the department's equal enforcement of the law.

"Our police department feels we have always enforced all applicable laws without regard for where people live, and we have gone out of our way to promote that image of equal enforcement."

The statement said it is 'important that if anyone is a victim of crime of any activity up to person to bring it to out attention."

Hagins said in the settlement afterm that "money was never the issue."

"What we wanted was a commitment from the city that they will make all Palos Verdes beaches available for everyone. Any incidents of localism at Lunada will be acted on immediately. That's a change, as far as I'm concerned, from their pervious position," Hagins said.

He noted the city "has always denied the problem existed, but now they are saying that if there is a problem they will act on it. I'm satisfied they will."

Hagins promised he "will be back in court if this doesn't change," but suggested "people will be encouraged by the city to use its beaches."

Hagins said the city "fought the issue

Hagins said the city "fought the issue like they've never fought before, like they had something to lose."

The successful surfer said he thinks the

beaches at Palos Verdes Estates cannot be dominated by locals.
"McCullom's demeanor has been an act of contrition," Hagins said.

message has been delivered, that the

MCCullom's lawyer, Dan Dunbar, was quoted following the settlement hearing as saying, "The bottom line is my guy feels bad about what he did. I don't think

EXHIBIT 3

```
1 | Michael F. Sisson, State Bar #108855
   Law Office of Michael F. Sisson
   18411 Crenshaw Blvd., Suite 220
   Torrance, California 90504
    (310) 719-8894
 4
   Attorney for plaintiff, Timothy Banas
 6
 8
                        SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
 9
                           COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
10
                                      CASE NO. BC268737
11
   TIMOTHY BANAS,
12
                  Plaintiff,
                                      COMPLAINT FOR:
13
                                      1. ASSAULT AND BATTERY;
             VS.
                                      2. CONSPIRACY;
                                      3. VIOLATION OF CIVIL RIGHTS;
14
   CITY OF PALOS VERDES ESTATES;
                                      4. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF.
   CHRISTOPHER
                  L.
                       MILLICAN;
   AARON K. ROURKE;
                       DAVID A.
   DICKEY; ROBERT C. JOHNSTON;
   PAUL J. HAMILTON; NICHOLAS M.
   SINCLAIR;
                 NICHOLAS
17
   MODISETTE; DIRTY UNDERWEAR
                   THROUGH 300,
          DOES
                1
   GANG;
18
   INCLUSIVE,
                  Defendants.
19
20
21
        Plaintiff alleges:
22
             The plaintiff, Timothy Banas, is, and at all times herein
23
   mentioned was, an individual and a resident of the County of Los
24
   Angeles, State of California.
25
            The defendant, City of Palos Verdes Estates, is, and at all
26
   times herein mentioned was, a public entity.
27
        3.
             Plaintiff is informed and believes and based upon such
28
   information and belief alleges that defendant, Christopher
```

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Millican, is an individual and a resident of the City of Palos Verdes Estates, County of Los Angeles, State of California.

- Plaintiff is informed and believes and based upon such 4. information and belief alleges that defendant, Aaron K. Rourke, is an individual and a resident of the City of Palos Verdes Estates, County of Los Angeles, State of California.
- Plaintiff is informed and believes and based upon such 5. information and belief alleges that defendant, David A. Dickey, is an individual and a resident of the City of Palos Verdes Estates, County of Los Angeles, State of California.
- 6. Plaintiff is informed and believes and based upon such information and belief alleges that defendant, Robert C. Johnston, is an individual and a resident of the City of Palos Verdes Estates, County of Los Angeles, State of California.
- Plaintiff is informed and believes and based upon such information and belief alleges that defendant, Paul J. Hamilton, is an 17 | individual and a resident of the City of Rancho Palos Verdes, County of Los Angeles, State of California.
 - Plaintiff is informed and believes and based upon such information and belief alleges that defendant, Nicholas M. Sinclair, is an individual and a resident of the City of Palos Verdes Estates, County of Los Angeles, State of California.
 - 9. Plaintiff is informed and believes and based upon such information and belief alleges that defendant, Nicholas J. Modisette, is an individual and a resident of the City of Palos Verdes Estates, County of Los Angeles, State of California.
- 27 Plaintiff does not know the true names or capacities, 10. 28 whether individual, corporate, associate or otherwise, of DOES 1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

THROUGH 300, INCLUSIVE, and therefore sues them pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure § 474. Plaintiff is informed and believes and based such information and belief alleges that each defendant designated herein as a DOE is legally responsible or liable for the and occurrences alleged herein, and is responsible for plaintiff's damages and injuries.

Plaintiff is informed and believes and based upon such 11. information and belief alleges that, at all times mentioned in this complaint, each defendant was the agent, servant, and/or employee of each of the other co-defendants and was acting with each defendants' authorization, knowledge and/or consent. Plaintiffs are further informed that each defendant ratified the conduct of each of the remaining defendants (including any corporate defendants who ratified its/their agent(s) conduct through an officer or managing agent).

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION FOR ASSAULT AND BATTERY

(Against defendants, Christopher L. Millican, Aaron K. Rourke, David 17 A. Dickey, Robert C. Johnston, Paul J. Hamilton, Nicholas M. Sinclair, Nicholas J. Modisette, and DOES 1 THROUGH 50, INCLUSIVE, only)

- 12. Plaintiff realleges paragraphs 1 through 11 and incorporates the same herein by reference as though set forth herein at length.
- On or about January 4, 2002, plaintiff went to surf at a 13. popular place commonly known as "Indicator" which is located below the approximately 1400-1800 block of Paseo Del Mar in the City of Palos Verdes Estates.
- 14. To get down to the water from Paseo Del Mar, it is necessary to go down a steep trail. Plaintiff, while at the top of the trail was confronted by defendant Robert C. Johnston, among other members of the Dirty Underwear Gang (described hereinbelow) who told him to

10

11

12

13

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 15. At the bottom of the trail, plaintiff was confronted by defendant Christopher L. Millican who told plaintiff he did not belong there. Defendants, and each of them, abruptly began to kick and punch plaintiff his face, head, middle and extremities.
- 16. By reason of the acts of defendants, and each of them, plaintiff was placed in great fear for his life and physical well being.
- 17. As a result of the acts of defendants, and each of them, plaintiff has suffered extreme and severe mental anguish and physical pain and has been injured in mind and body all to plaintiff's damage in an amount according to proof.
- 18. As a result of the acts of defendants, and each of them, plaintiff was required to, and did, incur obligations for medical and related services reasonably required in the treatment and relief of the injuries suffered by plaintiff all to plaintiff's damage in an amount according to proof.
- 19. Defendants' conduct was willful, malicious, oppressive and/or fraudulent thereby justifying an award of punitive damages.
- 20. As a result of the acts of defendants, and each of them, plaintiff suffered loss of earnings and loss of earning capacity in an amount according to proof.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION FOR CONSPIRACY

(Against defendants, Christopher L. Millican, Aaron K. Rourke, David A. Dickey, Robert C. Johnston, Paul J. Hamilton, Nicholas M. Sinclair, Nicholas J. Modisette, and DOES 1 THROUGH 100, INCLUSIVE, only)

- 21. Plaintiff realleges paragraphs 1 through 20 and incorporates the same herein by reference as though set forth herein at length.
- 22. Sometime prior to January 4, 2002, defendants, and DOES 1 THROUGH 100, knowingly and willfully conspired and agreed among themselves to intimidate, harass, threaten and assault and batter anybody who was not in the defendants' gang (known as, among other names, "The Dirty Underwear Gang") who attempted to surf at locations known as "Haggerty's", "The Cove", "Indicator" and "Lunada Bay"; to claim the above locations as their own, as if the defendants' owned public lands; and to disallow members of the general public to access beaches, trails and surfing locations around Palos Verdes Estates.
- 23. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that the last overt act in pursuance of the above-described conspiracy occurred on January 4, 2002, and on other various dates between January 4, 2002, and continuing to the present, by virtue of the following acts:
- A. The defendants, and DOES 1 THROUGH 50, threw rocks at plaintiff, made terrorist threats to plaintiff, and assaulted and battered plaintiff; and
- B. DOES 85 to 100, INCLUSIVE, members of the Palos Verdes Estates Police Department, attempted to intimidate plaintiff into not pressing charges against their co-defendants, filled out police or investigative reports in a way which favored the co-defendants, and initiated false criminal charges against plaintiff's son, Thomas Banas, for coming to the defense of his father when plaintiff was being assaulted and battered by the defendants as alleged in paragraphs 13-15 above.
- 24. Prior to January 4, 2002, defendants, and DOES 1 THROUGH 100 (hereinafter referred to in this paragraph as "gang"), vandalized

cars belonging to anyone who dared to park at one of the gang's surfing spots, threatened and threw rocks at non-gang affiliated surfers if they did not leave the gang's surfing spots, and assaulted and battered non-gang affiliated surfers.

25. As a result of the above-described conspiracy, plaintiff has suffered the damages as alleged in paragraphs 17 through 20 above and as more fully set forth in plaintiff's prayer below.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION FOR CIVIL RIGHTS VIOLATION

(Against City of Palos Verdes Estates, and DOES 75 THROUGH 100, INCLUSIVE)

- 26. This cause of action is an action brought under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 to recover damages against defendants for violation of plaintiff's constitutional rights, (1) to peaceably assemble and transport himself to and from and on public property, (2) to liberty, (3) to equal protection of the laws, (4) to speak freely and to use that speech to seek legal redress, and (5) to surf.
- 27. Plaintiff realleges paragraphs 1 through 20 and incorporates the same herein by reference as thought set forth herein at length.
- 28. Defendants, DOES 75 THROUGH 100, INCLUSIVE, were at all times mentioned in this cause of action, agents for the City of Palos Verdes Estates and employed as police officers.
- 29. Defendants, DOES 75 THROUGH 100, INCLUSIVE, were at all times mentioned in this cause of action, acting in the course and scope of his/her employment with the City of Palos Verdes Estates.
- 30. Defendants, DOES 85 THROUGH 100, INCLUSIVE, are sued in their individual capacities.
- 31. For literally decades, generations of a surfer gang in Palos Verdes Estates have engaged in terrorist like activities which included making terrorist threats, throwing rocks, assaults, beating

1 people up, and vandalizing automobiles and other personal property. The terrorist activities were directed towards any person who attempted to surf at popular surf locations in Palos Verdes Estates known as "Indicator", Lunada Bay, "The Cove" and "Haggerty's" and who were not members of the gang (known by many names but which the plaintiff is presently informed is "The Dirty Underwear Gang"). Plaintiff is informed that the gang is comprised of financially well to do residents of Palos Verdes Estates and Rancho Palos Verdes, and/or their children.

32. The activities of The Dirty Underwear Gang culminated in the assault and battery of plaintiff as described in paragraphs 13-15 above. But for the Palos Verdes Police Department's aid to the gang, and a custom, practice and policy of either "looking the other way" or intimidating victims not to press charges against members of the surf gang, the assault and battery on plaintiff would not have occurred.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- 33. At all times mentioned in this cause of action, defendants 17 were acting under color of state law.
 - 34. As mentioned above, the Palos Verdes Estates Police Department has a custom and practice of ignoring complaints made to it by victims of crime perpetrated by the Dirty Underwear Gang. In many cases, instead of its usual policy of callously disregarding crimes committed by the Dirty Underwear Gang, the Palos Verdes Estates Police Department threatened and intimidated victims not to press charges against members of the Dirty Underwear Gang. Indeed, many of the members of the Dirty Underwear Gang are friends with members of the police department. The Palos Verdes Police Department allows the Dirty Underwear Gang to use and claim the surfing locations described herein as their own property and allows the building of non-permitted buildings, shacks, concrete changing areas and barbecue pits on public

land by the Dirty Underwear Gang for their sole use. The Palos Verdes Police Department, or at least a substantial number of its officers, view the Dirty Underwear Gang's activities as helpful to them in being "proactive" and keeping "undesirables" out of their city.

- 35. The custom and habit of members of the Palos Verdes Estates' Police Department to disregard complaints or to intimidate or coerce victims from making complaints, is so entrenched after so many years that it has become an official, albeit unwritten, policy of the Police Department, despite whatever good sounding written policies the police department has to the contrary.
- 36. In plaintiff's case, plaintiff attempted to lodge a criminal complaint against the defendants referred to in paragraphs 13-20 above but DOES 75 through 100 threatened him that he would be arrested, as would his son. In fact, plaintiff's son was thereafter charged with a crime in connection with defending his father from harm.
- 37. As a result of defendants' conduct, plaintiff has suffered the damages as alleged in paragraphs 17-20 above and as more fully set forth in plaintiff's prayer below.
- 38. The conduct of Defendants, DOES 85 THROUGH 100, was willful, malicious, and done with a reckless and callous disregard for plaintiff's federally protected rights.
- 39. Plaintiff is entitled to an award of attorney's fees pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1988.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

- (Against defendants, Christopher L. Millican, Aaron K. Rourke, David A. Dickey, Robert C. Johnston, Paul J. Hamilton, Nicholas M. Sinclair, Nicholas J. Modisette, Dirty Underwear Gang, and DOES 1 THROUGH 300, INCLUSIVE)
 - 40. Plaintiff realleges paragraphs 1 through 11 above and

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

incorporates the same herein by reference as though set forth herein at length.

- The defendant DIRTY UNDERWEAR GANG is, and at all times 41. 4 herein mentioned, was, a partnership or association, form unknown, that fits the description of "criminal street gang" as referred to in the California Street Terrorism Enforcement and Prevention Act starting at Penal Code § 186.21.
 - Defendants, and DOES 1 THROUGH 300, INCLUSIVE, are members 42. of the DIRTY UNDERWEAR GANG.
 - 43. The defendants, and each of them, are engaged in a "pattern of criminal gang activity" as that phrase is defined in Penal Code § 186.22 (e).
 - 44. Penal Code § 186.22a provides that every building or place used by members of a criminal street gang for the purpose of the commission of the offenses which comprise a "pattern of criminal gang activity" is a nuisance which shall be enjoined, abated and prevented.
 - 45. The surf locations known as "Haggerty's", Cove", "Indicator" and Lunada Bay are places used by defendants for the purpose of committing their pattern of criminal gang activity.
 - Plaintiff requests that Christopher L. Millican, Aaron K. Rourke, David A. Dickey, Robert C. Johnston, Paul J. Hamilton, Nicholas M. Sinclair, Nicholas J. Modisette, Dirty Underwear Gang, and DOES 1 THROUGH 300, INCLUSIVE, be permanently enjoined from using the surf locations known as "Haggerty's", "The Cove", "Indicator" and Lunada Bay.
 - Plaintiff's action benefits the public at large and 47. plaintiff is entitled to an award of attorney's fees pursuant to statute.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff prays for judgment as follows:

1 ON THE FIRST AND SECOND CAUSES OF ACTION 2 For general damages according to proof; For damages for medical and related expenses in an amount 2. according to proof; 5 3. For damages representing loss of earnings and loss of earning capacity in an amount according to proof; For punitive damages in an amount according to proof; ON THE THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION 9 For general damages according to proof; 10 6. For damages for medical and related expenses in an amount 11 according to proof; 12 7. For damages representing loss of earnings and loss of earning 13 capacity in an amount according to proof; 14 8. As to DOES 85 THROUGH 100 only, punitive damages in an amount 15 according to proof; 16 For attorney's fees pursuant to statute in an amount according to proof; 18 ON THE FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION 19 . 10. For a permanent injunction enjoining Christopher L. 20 Millican, Aaron K. Rourke, David A. Dickey, Robert C. Johnston, Paul 21 J. Hamilton, Nicholas M. Sinclair, Nicholas J. Modisette, Dirty 22 Underwear Gang, and DOES 1 THROUGH 300, INCLUSIVE, from using the surf 23 locations known as "Haggerty's", "The Cove", "Indicator" and Lunada Bay 24 and from continuing their pattern of criminal gang activity; 25 For attorney's fees pursuant to the Attorney General 11. 26 statute; 27 ALL CAUSES OF ACTION 28 For costs of suit herein incurred; and 12. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just 13.

Case 2:16-cv-02129-SJO-RAO Document 169 Filed 12/29/16 Page 21 of 21 Page ID #:3099

1	and pro	oper.							
2				•					
3	Dated:	February	<u>25</u> , 2002	Michal /	F 91	sson	Nttornou	for	
4				Plaintif	f, Ti	mothy	Attorney Banas		
5									
6									
7									
8									
9									
10									
12									
13									
14		-							
15									
16									
17									
18									
19									
20									
21									
22									
23									
2425									
26									
27									
28									
li									Į